

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,662		02/28/2002	Johnny Goldberg	272/040	3804	
34026	7590	06/17/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES I			CROW, STEPHEN R			
		STREET, SUITE 4	1600	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANG	JELES, C	CA 90013-1025		1111 01111	THE EXTRONOLOGY	
				3764	11	
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. '			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	CH
	10/086,662	GOLDBERG, JOH	INNY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steve R Crow	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the consequence of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following of the following of the drawing of the d	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/086,662

Art Unit: 3764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15-16,18-23,25-32,34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Aalto.

Aalto disclose all of applicant's structural limitations.

Clearly, Aalto's structural characteristics, e.g., the adjustable seat and handlebars, are meant for variability in the user positions on the bicycle.

All of applicant's claimed body movements, such as sitting standing and handlebar gripping are simulations of outdoor bicycle riding conditions.

Therefore, it is considered well known and unobvious to practice these various body positions on an outdoor bicycle. Outdoor bikes have been

Application/Control Number: 10/086,662

Art Unit: 3764

adapted for indoor stationary use when combined with bike trainer support structure. The outdoor bike, having the identical characteristics, can be utilized indoors in the same variable body postures as outdoor bikes used outdoors. Likewise, stationary indoor bikes have been produced which provide the body variability of outdoor bikes, but having one integral frame instead of a bike support frame. Again, the desired variability in body posture has been retained by the stationary indoor bikes, as exemplified by the Aalto bike. The form follows the desired function. The logical progression of outdoor to indoor bikes have sought to retain functional equivalency. The examiner contends that Aalto's stationary bicycle can be practiced in the identical manner as applicant's claimed invention:

The examiner contends that if not inherent, then it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to utilize the Aalto bike in mimicking outdoor bike riding, racing, mountain climbing, etc. by changing the user's various postures such as variable gripping, relative seat and handlebar positions, and center of gravity.

As to claims 25-26 and 34, conventional indoor bikes generally have transmissions which directly engage the pedals to the flywheel and have adjustable resistance means. Aalto appears to show these features.

Although not expressly stated, if not inherent, the examiner contends that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the Aalto bike with transmission and resistance means.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,662

Art Unit: 3764

As to claim 32, Aalto broadly shows various triangulated structures. It is noted that the claimed "triangulated structures" has not been functionally associated with the ability to rock the body side to side.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 24 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The heart rate monitoring and ergometer critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).\*

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The

Art Unit: 3764

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332